

# Global Geopolitics and Game Theory

Game Theory · Practice Test · 8 Questions

---

**1. The historical 'Scramble for Africa' in the late 19th century can be broadly understood through a game-theoretic lens. Which of the following geopolitical realities most closely aligns with the concept of a 'race' or 'positive-sum game' for European powers seeking to establish colonies?**

- A) The mutually assured destruction (MAD) doctrine during the Cold War, where both superpowers had incentives to avoid direct conflict due to overwhelming retaliation capabilities.
- B) The territorial acquisition and competition for resources and strategic locations across the African continent, where initial gains by one power often spurred others to expand their claims to avoid being left behind.
- C) The formation of the European Union, where member states gradually ceded some sovereignty for collective economic and political benefits in a cooperative game.
- D) The Bretton Woods Agreement of 1944, which established a new global financial order aimed at preventing the economic nationalism that contributed to World War II.

**2. The strategic importance of the Strait of Malacca, a critical chokepoint for global trade, makes it a potential focal point for geopolitical maneuvering. Which of the following scenarios best illustrates a 'zero-sum game' dynamic involving this strait?**

- A) A multilateral agreement among littoral states to ensure freedom of navigation and shared security, benefiting all users.
- B) The development of alternative shipping routes through overland pipelines or other maritime passages, diminishing the strategic leverage of control over the strait.
- C) A dominant naval power asserting exclusive passage rights or imposing significant tolls, thereby directly disadvantaging other nations that rely on the strait for trade.
- D) The implementation of joint environmental protection initiatives to manage marine pollution, where cooperation yields mutual benefits.

**3. The division of the Korean Peninsula into North and South Korea after World War II and its subsequent geopolitical evolution can be analyzed using game theory. Which of the following best describes the ongoing strategic situation between the two Koreas and their major allies as an example of a 'stag hunt' game?**

- A) Both Koreas have a strong incentive to cooperate on economic development and reunification, but fear that the other's defection or external interference could lead to a worse outcome than continued, albeit tense, separation.
- B) The United States and China engage in a constant arms race, mirroring the 'prisoners' dilemma' where each has an incentive to increase military spending, even though mutual disarmament would be optimal.
- C) South Korea and Japan find themselves in a 'chicken' game regarding historical disputes, where neither wants to back down but a full escalation of tensions would be detrimental to both.
- D) The global market for rare earth minerals, where countries compete aggressively for market share, resembling a complex multi-player 'tragedy of the commons'.

**4. The Arctic region is becoming increasingly significant due to climate change opening new shipping routes and access to resources. Considering the territorial claims and potential for cooperation or conflict among Arctic nations (e.g., Canada, Russia, USA, Norway, Denmark), which game-theoretic concept best describes the potential scenario of resource exploitation without international agreement?**

- A) A coordination game, where nations must agree on common standards to maximize collective benefit.
- B) The 'tragedy of the commons', where individual nations acting in their short-term self-interest deplete a shared resource, leading to a worse outcome for all.
- C) A sequential game, where the actions of one nation dictate the optimal responses of others in a predictable order.
- D) A zero-sum game, where any gain in resource extraction for one nation directly translates to an equivalent loss for another.

**5. The ongoing dispute over the South China Sea, involving competing territorial claims and strategic military presence, exhibits characteristics of a multi-player game. Which of the following describes a core game-theoretic challenge in resolving this dispute, particularly concerning freedom of navigation and resource access?**

- A) The difficulty of achieving a 'Pareto improvement', where any agreement that benefits one claimant may be opposed by another due to perceived loss of sovereignty or strategic advantage, even if overall stability increases.
- B) The inherent nature of a 'battle of the sexes' game, where multiple equilibria exist, and parties have preferences for different outcomes, making coordination difficult.
- C) The repeated application of a 'repeated prisoners' dilemma', where the threat of future retaliation incentivizes cooperation on maritime law.
- D) The emergence of a 'market for lemons' scenario, where the lack of transparency about military capabilities creates mistrust and escalates tensions.

**6. The Belt and Road Initiative (BRI), a massive infrastructure development and investment strategy by China, has significant geopolitical implications. From a game-theoretic perspective, how does the BRI challenge established global powers, potentially creating a 'moving target' scenario?**

- A) By offering alternative development pathways and economic partnerships, it forces other nations to adapt their foreign policy and economic strategies in response to shifting global influence, making long-term prediction of power dynamics more complex.
- B) It is an example of a purely cooperative game, where all participating nations benefit equally and without strategic competition.
- C) It is a classic 'prisoners' dilemma' where China's pursuit of influence is met with reciprocal suspicion and counter-strategies from other major powers.
- D) It represents a 'coordination game' where all nations are incentivized to align their trade policies with China for mutual economic gain, leading to a single, predictable outcome.

**7. The Suez Canal's historical significance as a vital waterway and its control has been a recurring geopolitical issue. The 1956 Suez Crisis, involving nationalization and international intervention, can be viewed through the lens of a high-stakes game. Which of the following best represents the dynamic of this crisis as a 'chicken' game?**

- A) Egypt, under Nasser, asserted control, while Britain, France, and Israel responded with military action, each side pushing towards confrontation, risking severe consequences if neither backed down.
- B) The United Nations attempted to mediate a peaceful resolution, highlighting a cooperative approach to avoid conflict.
- C) The canal's opening in 1869 marked a 'positive-sum game' for global trade, facilitating faster transit between Europe and Asia.
- D) The subsequent decades saw a period of stable management and international cooperation regarding the canal's operations.

**8. The establishment of international river basin management agreements, such as those governing the Mekong or the Rhine, often involves complex negotiations among riparian states. Which game-theoretic concept is most relevant to the challenges of ensuring fair water allocation and preventing upstream states from unilaterally exploiting resources to the detriment of downstream states?**

- A) The 'tragedy of the commons', where individual pursuit of water use leads to depletion and conflict if not managed collectively.
- B) A 'bargaining game' where each state attempts to maximize its share while considering the needs and potential responses of others.
- C) A 'battle of the sexes' game, where states have conflicting preferences over water usage priorities.
- D) A 'perfect information game', where all states have complete knowledge of water flows and downstream impacts.